No evidence for synaptogenesis due to tDCS during reach training in rats



The City College of New York

Oumaima Benali^{1,2}, Forouzan Farahani¹, Lucas Parra¹, John Martin²

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, The City College of New York, New York, NY.

²Department of Molecular, Cellular & Biomedical Science CUNY School of Medicine, The City College of New York , New York , NY.

INTRODUCTION:

Electric fields generated by transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) are thought to cause lasting behavioral effects by modulating synaptic efficacy. One possible mechanism by which synaptic efficacy is increased is by inducing an increase in the number of synapses. In the present study, we determined the effects of anodal IDCS delivered over the motor cortex on synapse number. We (Farahami et al., in review) and others have shown that IDCS improves motor learning in animals and humans. Here, we ask if tDCS augments motor cortex synapse number in association with motor determined. learning

We studied this question using rats trained to perform a pellet reaching task while receiving either anodal tDCS over the motor cortex or no stimulation during a period of 10 days. Motor cortex synapse counts were made using high-resolution confocal microscopy, on tissue prepared for immunohistochemistry for synapse markers.

METHODS:

Animals (N=29) were trained to perform a single pellet reaching task. Once rats were acclimated to the task environme and the sugar pellet reward, they were trained 20 minutes a day, for 10 consecutive days, with or without concurrent tDCS at 150 µA centered over the forelimb area of motor cortex. Performance measure was the number of successful

Experiment Timeline



At the completion of training, animals were euthanized and perfused with saline and 4% PFA. The brain was extracted and the frontal lobe was dissected bilaterally and sliced (40µm thick frozen sections). Brain sections processed for immunohistochemistry for the following protein markers: Synaptophysin (SVN)-presynaptic marker Postsynaptic density-95 (PSD95)-postsynaptic marker NetWheneron marker.

NeuN-neuron marke





c.



A,B: Images of upper (A) and frontal (B) views of training chamber. C: TDCs session: Rat reaching for pellet. D: A snapshot of a paw with the colored dots indicating the position labels



A: Schematic of the montage (red, anode over M1; blue, cathode) B: Cathode grid electrode implanted in the chest. C: Left: custom-made epicranial electrode holder made from dental cement, right: M1 electrode 3x3 mm² platinum plate.





A: The "trained" paw is the one with which the animal learned to grab the food pellet (yellow) through a narrow slit. tDCS electrode placed over the contralateral motor cortex of the trained paw and delivered during 10 days of training. B: Image of a rat tethered to the wire linked to tDCS stimulation while on the training chambe



logy (shape, nucleus) and Neuk; identify triple labeled synapses SD+NeuN) with all three imaging channels on; turn off NeuN and

-unagJ/Fiji cell counting and marking with number -10 neurons analyzed for Layer 2 and 10 neurons for lay umber for MCX of



Overview: Subsequent to handling and acclimatization, rats were food restricted during shapping for 6 days. Pre-surgery training was concluded after 10 successful reaches (rat reaches for pellets and eats each). This was done to determine the preferred paw for contralateral implantation of the motor cortex (M1) anodal electrode

Contralateral = trained side with and without tDCS

Ipsilateral=untrained side with and without tDCS; this is used as control Left-pawed rats used their right arm to assist during reaching. Right-pawed rats were unidextrous

->We found that right-pawed animals had a larger contralateral - Ipsilateral difference in synapse number for Layer 2, compared to left-pawed animals (one-sample t-test: t(26)=2.2, p=0.037). There was no effect of tDCS on synapse count, nor any effects in Layer 5, or for the left-pawed animals. We also found no significant correlation of synapse count with the number of successful reaches

In summary

left-pawed animals

RESULTS:

Reaching: Training increased successful reaches and rat performance Effect of training + tDCS: No effect on synapse count for Layer 5 or the left pawed $\frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{2}$ animals; right-pawed animals had a larger ntra contralateral - Ipsilateral difference in 5 synapse number for Layer 2, compared to

Synapse count: contralateral synapse number minus ipsi-lateral number for 20 neurons per hemisphere. Each point is one animal. (A) separated by paw preference (B) separate by stimulation condition (anodal tDCS vs control) (C) separated by paw preference and stimulation condition

"Reaching M1": Contralateral to reaching arm without and with stimulation. "Non_reaching M1": Ipsilateral to reaching arm without and with stimulation



Left-Control Left-AnodalRight-ControRight-Anodal

CONCLUSION:

· Training combined with tDCs improved rat performance, in terms of rate of successful reaches.

• tDCS did not have a significant effect in training-induced synaptogenesis.

In a related study (Farahani et al., in review), we did find tDCS to have a significant effect on reaching skill acquisition. The significant effect observed for right-pawed rats may be due to their unidextrous performance strategy. Experiments are in progress to determine if there are any quantitative differences in synapse morphology.

> Supported by MPI 1R01NS130484 (Parra, contact PI; Martin MPI). We thank Xiuli Wu for histology and Dr. Jorge Morales for help with confocal microscopy